

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Photochemistry Photobiology A:Chemistry

Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 157 (2003) 295-300

www.elsevier.com/locate/jphotochem

On the atmospheric degradation of pyruvic acid in the gas phase

Abdelwahid Mellouki^{a,*}, Yujing Mu^b

^a LCSR/CNRS, 1C Avenue de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orleans Cedex 02, France ^b Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100085, China

Received 29 March 2002; accepted 13 August 2002

Abstract

The pulsed laser photolysis-laser induced fluorescence (PLP-LIF) technique has been used to measure the rate constant for the gas phase reaction of OH radicals with pyruvic acid (CH₃C(O)C(O)OH) in the temperature range 273–371 K. The data obtained were found to be well represented by the conventional Arrhenius expression: $k_{PA} = (4.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-14} \exp[(276 \pm 123)/T] \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and at 298 K, $k_{PA} = (1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In addition, the UV-Vis absorption cross-sections of pyruvic acid were measured in the wavelength range 290–380 nm at 298 K; the obtained values are compared with literature ones. Using the PLP-LIF system, the photolysis of pyruvic acid using a set of black lamps as the light source. The data obtained confirm that the photolysis is the major gas phase atmospheric loss process of pyruvic acid. © 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

8

Keywords: Degradation; Photolysis; Pyruvic acid

1. Introduction

Pyruvic acid (CH₃C(O)C(O)OH) is one of the most abundant ketoacids present in the atmosphere. Its presence has been reported in aerosols, rainwater and in the gas phase in urban atmosphere as well as in remote continental and marine areas (e.g. [1-3]). It is a product of the biogenic emissions and the photochemical oxidation of natural organic compounds such as isoprene and cresols (e.g. [1,4]). Talbot et al. reported average daytime gas phase concentrations of pyruvic acid over central Amazonia, during the wet season, of 25 ± 15 and 15 ± 15 ppt in the forest canopy and free troposphere, respectively [5]. The concentrations are expected to be higher during the dry season. Baboukas et al. measured a mixing ratio of 1.1 ± 1.0 pptv above the Atlantic Ocean [3]. The measurements performed by Andreae et al., showed that the concentrations of pyruvic acid and formic acid were highly correlated, with typical formic-to-pyruvic ratios of 10-30 in the gas phase, 20-30 in rain and 2-10 in aerosols [1]. Similarly to other organic acids, pyruvic acid is expected to be removed from the atmosphere through different homogeneous and heterogeneous processes. Therefore, it is expected to play a role in the precipitation acidity.

Available data indicate that the main gas phase loss process of pyruvic acid in the atmosphere is photolysis; the contribution of the gas phase reaction with OH radicals is considered to be a negligible removal process since the reaction rate constant is estimated to be $\leq 5 \times 10^{-14}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ [6,7]. However, the OH reaction rate constant with pyruvic acid has never been directly measured. In this work, we report the first measurement of the rate constant for the reaction of OH with pyruvic acid in the temperature range 273–371 K. In addition, we report the UV-Vis spectra of this ketoacid as well as evidence for the production of OH radicals from its photolysis at $\lambda = 351$ and 355 nm and by using a set of black lamps.

2. Experimental and results

2.1. OH rate constant measurement

The pulsed laser photolysis-laser induced fluorescence (PLP-LIF) technique was used to measure the reaction rate constant of OH with pyruvic acid and the OH quantum yield production from its photolysis at 355 nm. This experimental set-up has been described in detail previously [8], hence, only a brief presentation of the method and the procedures to extract the data are given here.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +33-238257505.

E-mail address: mellouki@cnrs-orleans.fr (A. Mellouki).

^{1010-6030/03/\$ –} see front matter @ 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S1010-6030(03)00070-4

In the kinetic study, OH radicals were generated by photolysis of HONO at 355 nm and detected by exciting the $Q_1(1)$ (A² $\Sigma \leftarrow X^2\Pi$) line at 282 nm by a beam from a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG pumped dye laser at a right angle to the photolysis beam. The resulting OH fluorescence was collected perpendicular to both the photolysis and probe beams by a photomultiplier tube, whose signal was fed to a gated charge integrator and then sent to a computer for data analysis.

All kinetic experiments were carried out under pseudofirst-order conditions with [pyruvic acid] \gg [OH]₀, the initial concentration of OH being [OH]₀ < 4 × 10¹¹ molecules cm⁻³. The temporal profiles of [OH], therefore, followed the pseudo-first-order rate law:

$$[OH]_t = [OH]_0 \exp(-k't), \text{ where } k' = k_{PA}[PA] + k'_0$$
(1)

[PA] is the pyruvic acid concentration and k_{PA} is the rate coefficient for its reaction with OH radicals. The decay rate, k'_0 , is the first-order decay of OH in the absence of pyruvic acid. The value of k'_0 is essentially the sum of the reaction rate of OH with its precursor, HONO, and the diffusion rate of OH out of the detection zone. The second-order rate coefficients k_{PA} values were obtained from the slopes of plots of $(k' - k_0)$ versus PA concentrations. Examples of typical plots $(k' - k_0)$ versus [PA] obtained are presented in Fig. 1. Pyruvic acid was flowed directly from a bubbler or from a bulb where it was premixed with helium and its concentration calculated from mass flow rates, temperature and pressure in the cell. Helium (UHP certified to >99.9995%), nitrogen (99.9995%), both from Alphagas, were used without purification. HONO was produced in situ by reacting NaNO₂ (0.1 M) with dilute H₂SO₄ (10%) contained in a stirred round bottomed flask. The effluent from the flask



Fig. 1. Typical plots of $k' - k_0$ vs. [pyruvic acid]. The solid line is the linear least-squares fit to the data.

Table 1
Rate constants for the reaction of OH with CH ₃ C(O)C(O)OH in the range
273_371 K

$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} T(\mathbf{K}) & [\mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{O})\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{O})\mathbf{OH}] & k'-k_0 & (k\pm 2\sigma)\times 10^{13} \\ & (10^{15}\ \mathrm{molecules\ cm^{-3}}) & (\mathrm{s}^{-1}) & (\mathrm{cm}^3\ \mathrm{molecule}^{-1}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}) \\ \hline \\ 273 & 1.01-4.19 & 174-619 & 1.35\pm 0.17 \\ 283 & 1.11-6.74 & 170-981 & 1.46\pm 0.1 \\ 298 & 1.53-7.37 & 215-951 & 1.35\pm 0.13 \\ 298 & 1.21-10.7 & 108-1262 & 1.16\pm 0.13 \\ 298 & 4.42-21.4 & 226-941 & 0.98\pm 0.08 \\ 298 & 0.05-2.25 & 17-237 & 1.14\pm 0.08 \\ 323 & 0.87-6.55 & 79-904 & 1.38\pm 0.09 \\ 348 & 1.34-6.88 & 172-705 & 1.11\pm 0.16 \\ 348 & 1.49-7.48 & 150-732 & 1.08\pm 0.08 \\ 371 & 0.98-5.88 & 112-507 & 0.88\pm 0.05 \\ 371 & 0.86-4.80 & 102-507 & 1.13\pm 0.17 \\ \hline \end{array} $				
2731.01-4.19174-6191.35 \pm 0.172831.11-6.74170-9811.46 \pm 0.12981.53-7.37215-9511.35 \pm 0.132981.21-10.7108-12621.16 \pm 0.132984.42-21.4226-9410.98 \pm 0.082980.05-2.2517-2371.14 \pm 0.083230.87-6.5579-9041.38 \pm 0.093481.34-6.88172-7051.11 \pm 0.163481.49-7.48150-7321.08 \pm 0.083710.98-5.88112-5070.88 \pm 0.053710.86-4.80102-5071.13 \pm 0.17	T (K)	$[CH_{3}C(O)C(O)OH]$ (10 ¹⁵ molecules cm ⁻³)	$\frac{k'-k_0}{(\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$\frac{(k \pm 2\sigma) \times 10^{13}}{(\text{cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	273	1.01-4.19	174–619	1.35 ± 0.17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	283	1.11-6.74	170-981	1.46 ± 0.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	298	1.53-7.37	215-951	1.35 ± 0.13
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	298	1.21-10.7	108-1262	1.16 ± 0.13
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	298	4.42-21.4	226-941	0.98 ± 0.08
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	298	0.05-2.25	17-237	1.14 ± 0.08
348 $1.34-6.88$ $172-705$ 1.11 ± 0.16 348 $1.49-7.48$ $150-732$ 1.08 ± 0.08 371 $0.98-5.88$ $112-507$ 0.88 ± 0.05 371 $0.86-4.80$ $102-507$ 1.13 ± 0.17	323	0.87-6.55	79–904	1.38 ± 0.09
348 $1.49-7.48$ $150-732$ 1.08 ± 0.08 371 $0.98-5.88$ $112-507$ 0.88 ± 0.05 371 $0.86-4.80$ $102-507$ 1.13 ± 0.17	348	1.34-6.88	172-705	1.11 ± 0.16
371 $0.98-5.88$ $112-507$ 0.88 ± 0.05 371 $0.86-4.80$ $102-507$ 1.13 ± 0.17	348	1.49-7.48	150-732	1.08 ± 0.08
$371 0.86-4.80 102-507 1.13 \pm 0.17$	371	0.98-5.88	112-507	0.88 ± 0.05
	371	0.86–4.80	102–507	1.13 ± 0.17

was swept into the cell by a known flow of helium. Pyruvic acid (>98%) was obtained from Fluka and further purified by repeated freeze–pump–thaw cycles and fractional distillation before use. GC–MS analysis of pyruvic acid showed the presence of less than 1% of acetic acid as impurity.

All experiments were performed at a total pressure of around 100 Torr. The results obtained in the temperature range 273–371 K are given in Table 1 and plotted in the Arrhenius form in Fig. 2. They were fitted to the conventional Arrhenius form, $k = A \exp(-E/RT)$. From un-weighted least squares analysis, the Arrehnius expression of the rate constant is: $k_{PA} = (4.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-14} \exp[(276 \pm 123)/T] \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, where the quoted errors are $A\sigma_{\ln A}$ and $\sigma_{E/R}$ for A and E/R, respectively. At 298 K, the rate constant value is taken as the average of the data obtained at this temperature: $k_{PA} =$ $(1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The quoted error is 2σ to which we have added 15% due mainly to the uncertainty in the measured concentration of pyruvic acid.



Fig. 2. Plot of k_{PA} vs. 1000/*T*. The solid line is the linear least-squares fit to all data.

2.2. UV absorption spectra

This was measured in a 100 cm long and 2.5 cm diameter absorption cell through which a collimated output of a 30 W D_2 lamp was passed and focused onto the entrance slit of the spectrophotometer equipped with a 1800 grooves mm⁻¹ grating and a 1024 element diode array detector [9]. The spectrum was divided into three overlapping regions of about 40 nm in the range 290–380 nm. The wavelength scale of the spectrometer was calibrated using the emission lines from low-pressure Hg (253.7, 313.2, 365 nm) pen-ray lamp and was accurate to 0.1 nm. Absorption cross-sections were calculated using the Beer–Lambert's law:

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \frac{-\ln[I(\lambda)/I_0(\lambda)]}{LC}$$
(2)

where $\sigma(\lambda)$ is the absorption cross-section (cm² molecule⁻¹) at the wavelength λ , L the path length in cm, and C is the concentration in molecules cm⁻³. I and I_0 are the light intensities with and without PA in the absorption cell, respectively. The reference spectrum I_0 was recorded after purging the absorption cell with helium. The spectrum I was measured when a fixed pressure of pyruvic acid was flowed through the absorption cell. For each 40 nm region of the spectrum, absorption measurements were made at six different pressures in the range 0.2–1 Torr. I_0 was recorded before and after each I measurement. The pressure measurements were made using a capacitance manometer operating in the range 0–10 Torr.

The spectrum obtained at 298 ± 3 K is shown in Fig. 3 and the cross-section values are listed in Table 2 in 1 nm intervals. The precision of the experimental cross-sections was calculated at each wavelength using the standard deviation for different measurements. In general, the standard deviation of the measured absorption cross-section was better than 10%. In addition to the statistical errors on the measured cross-section, the systematic ones mainly due to the con-



Fig. 3. Absorption cross-sections of pyruvic acid.

Table 2						
The UV	absorption	cross-sections	of pyruvic	acid a	at 298 ± 3	Κ

λ	σ^{a}	λ	σ^{a}	λ	σ^{a}
(nm)		(nm)		(nm)	
290	4.13×10^{-21}	320	2.30×10^{-20}	350	5.48×10^{-20}
291	4.35×10^{-21}	321	2.48×10^{-20}	351	5.32×10^{-20}
292	5.06×10^{-21}	322	2.59×10^{-20}	352	5.15×10^{-20}
293	5.61×10^{-21}	323	2.7×10^{-20}	353	5.03×10^{-20}
294	5.89×10^{-21}	324	2.74×10^{-20}	354	4.98×10^{-20}
295	6.17×10^{-21}	325	2.80×10^{-20}	355	4.61×10^{-20}
296	6.30×10^{-21}	326	2.93×10^{-20}	356	4.35×10^{-20}
297	6.52×10^{-21}	327	3.00×10^{-20}	357	4.09×10^{-20}
298	6.75×10^{-21}	328	3.07×10^{-20}	358	3.77×10^{-20}
299	7.32×10^{-21}	329	3.21×10^{-20}	359	3.59×10^{-20}
300	7.87×10^{-21}	330	3.41×10^{-20}	360	3.82×10^{-20}
301	8.27×10^{-21}	331	3.65×10^{-20}	361	3.98×10^{-20}
302	8.70×10^{-21}	332	4.01×10^{-20}	362	3.76×10^{-20}
303	9.16×10^{-21}	333	4.23×10^{-20}	363	3.38×10^{-20}
304	9.58×10^{-21}	334	4.30×10^{-20}	364	3.18×10^{-20}
305	1.04×10^{-20}	335	4.35×10^{-20}	365	3.15×10^{-20}
306	1.13×10^{-20}	336	4.43×10^{-20}	366	3.15×10^{-20}
307	1.18×10^{-20}	337	4.43×10^{-20}	367	3.19×10^{-20}
308	1.29×10^{-20}	338	4.39×10^{-20}	368	3.58×10^{-20}
309	1.38×10^{-20}	339	4.37×10^{-20}	369	3.96×10^{-20}
310	1.35×10^{-20}	340	4.39×10^{-20}	370	3.69×10^{-20}
311	1.37×10^{-20}	341	4.39×10^{-20}	371	3.21×10^{-20}
312	1.46×10^{-20}	342	4.67×10^{-20}	372	2.31×10^{-20}
313	1.57×10^{-20}	343	4.72×10^{-20}	373	2.01×10^{-20}
314	1.64×10^{-20}	344	4.61×10^{-20}	374	1.90×10^{-20}
315	1.73×10^{-20}	345	4.75×10^{-20}	375	1.37×10^{-20}
316	1.84×10^{-20}	346	4.91×10^{-20}	376	1.28×10^{-20}
317	1.97×10^{-20}	347	5.07×10^{-20}	377	1.08×10^{-20}
318	2.10×10^{-20}	348	5.21×10^{-20}	378	9.81×10^{-21}
319	2.20×10^{-20}	349	5.42×10^{-20}	379	8.71×10^{-21}
				380	7.06×10^{-21}

^a In cm² molecule⁻¹.

centration measurements, contribute to overall uncertainty. This latter is estimated to be $\pm 10\%$.

Fig. 3 shows also the spectra reported previously by Yamamoto and Back [10] and very recently by Horowitz et al. [11]. Yamamoto and Back reported only the relative absorption spectrum at 358 K which was normalized by Horowitz et al. by using the value of the cross-section at 350 nm ($\sigma =$ $3.82 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$) quoted by Berges and Warneck [12]. The three spectra show a similar shape, however, the one reported by Yamamoto and Back is clearly shifted to the red in the range 240-300 nm compared to that of Horowitz et al. The cross-sections values measured in this work are systematically higher than those obtained recently by Horowitz et al. This difference could reach a factor two (for wavelengths lower than 295 nm for example) and is typically around 20-30% in the wavelength range 305-370 nm. At least part of this discrepancy could be attributed to the difficulties of handling the pyruvic acid sample and measurement of the concentrations.

2.3. Photodissociation of pyruvic acid

Experiments were conducted by using two complementary techniques, the PLP-LIF system and a smog chamber. We describe first the experiments performed with PLP-LIF system which was the same as that used to measure the OH reaction rate constant and described briefly above.

2.3.1. Photodissociation of PA using PLP-LIF system

During the kinetic experiments presented above, preliminary checks showed the occurrence of a fluorescence signal when pyruvic acid was photolyzed in helium at 355 nm, in the absence of HONO (the OH precursor used in our experiments). An excitation spectrum was recorded in the range 281.9–282.5 nm following the photolysis of pyruvic acid at 351 and 355 nm and was found to be similar to that of OH radicals obtained when pyruvic acid was replaced by HONO. Therefore, a series of experiments was conducted to measure the OH production quantum yield resulting from the photolysis of pyruvic acid at 355 nm.

The primary quantum yield for OH production, $\Phi(OH)$, at 355 nm was determined relative to that of HONO. Experiments were performed in 100 and 300 Torr of helium in the absence and presence of up to 1.1×10^{16} molecules cm⁻³ of H₂O, and in 30-50 Torr of nitrogen to measure the total yield of OH, including $OH(A^3\Sigma)$ and any vibrationally excited $OH(X^2\Pi)$ by quenching the excited species to $OH(X^2\Pi)$. v'' = 0). The concentration of OH radicals, [OH], was measured at several different delay times after the photolysis pulse to generate a temporal profile. The initial OH signals were obtained from the t = 0 intercept of $\ln(f)$ versus reaction time, t, plots (where $f^t = S^t - B$, B is the background excited by the probe laser and S^t is the signal at time t). The temporal profile of OH produced upon photolysis is governed mainly by its reaction with the photolyte, HONO or pyruvic acid, in large excess over OH:

 $OH + HONO \rightarrow products$ (k_{HONO}) $OH + CH_3C(O)C(O)OH \rightarrow products$ (k_{PA})

In addition, the OH radicals are lost via diffusion out of the detection zone. This first order loss process has a rate coefficient k_d . Therefore, the OH temporal profile is given by the equation:

 $[OH]_t = [OH]_0 \exp(-k't)$ (3)

where

$$k' = k_{\rm d} + k_{\rm HONO}[{\rm HONO}]$$
 or $k' = k_{\rm d} + k_{\rm PA}[{\rm PA}]$ (4)

Fig. 4 shows an example of the obtained OH profiles following photolysis of HONO or pyruvic acid. By variation of pyruvic acid concentrations, the rate coefficient for the reaction of OH with PA was measured at 100 Torr of He, the rate constant obtained was $k_{PA} = (1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This value can be considered in agreement with that measured directly using HONO as OH source ($k = (1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).

For the OH quantum yield measurements, HONO was first photolyzed and the initial signal, f_{HONO}^0 was determined. HONO was then replaced by PA and photolysis was repeated



Fig. 4. Example of the obtained f^0 (OH LIF signal) vs. reaction time. Initial conditions are: [HONO] = 1.3×10^{14} , [pyruvic acid] = 3.58×10^{15} molecules cm⁻³ at P = 110 Torr of He, and $E_{355} = 8$ mJ.

to obtain f_{PA}^0 . Back to back photolysis runs of HONO and PA can be rationed to obtain:

$$\frac{f_{\rm PA}^0}{f_{\rm HONO}^0} = \frac{\left[\Phi_{\rm PA}(\rm OH)\sigma_{\rm PA}E_{\rm PA}[\rm PA]\right]}{\left[\Phi_{\rm HONO}(\rm OH)\sigma_{\rm HONO}E_{\rm HONO}[\rm HONO]\right]} \tag{5}$$

where $E_{\rm PA}$ and $E_{\rm HONO}$ are the photolysis-laser fluences for the PA and HONO runs ($E_{\rm PA} = E_{\rm HONO}$ in the range 4–8 mJ), [PA] and [HONO] were in the ranges (1.0–12.9) × 10¹⁵ and (0.7–8) × 10¹⁴ molecules cm⁻³, respectively. $\sigma_{\rm PA}$ and $\sigma_{\rm HONO}$ are the absorption cross-sections at 355 nm ($\sigma_{\rm PA} = 4.61 \times 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{cm^2 \,molecule^{-1}}$, from this work, $\sigma_{\rm HONO} = 36.4 \times 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{cm^2 \,molecule^{-1}}$ [13], and $\Phi_{\rm HONO}(\rm OH) = 1$). The primary quantum yield was then obtained from a plot of the ratio of the initial signals ($f_{\rm PA}^0/f_{\rm HONO}^0$) versus [PA]/[HONO]. An example of the obtained plot is given in Fig. 5.

The experimental conditions and the obtained quantum yields are summarized in Table 3. An average value of $\Phi(OH) = 0.05 \pm 0.01$ is derived from all experiments. An additional systematic error of $\pm 30\%$ is added to the final

Quantum yields,	$\Phi_{\rm PA}(\rm OH),$	in	the	355 nm	photolysis	of	pyruvic	acid	at
$298\pm3\mathrm{K}$									

P (Torr)	Slope ^a	$\Phi(OH)$
100/He	$(7.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	0.05 ± 0.01
300/Не	$(7.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	0.06 ± 0.01
30/N ₂	$(5.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	0.05 ± 0.01
50/N ₂	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	0.07 ± 0.01
100/He ([H ₂ O] = 4.4×10^{15}]) ^b	$(4.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	0.04 ± 0.01
100/He ([H ₂ O] = 2.8×10^{15}]) ^b	$(4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3}$	0.04 ± 0.01
100/He ([H ₂ O] = 1.1×10^{16}]) ^b	$(5.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	0.05 ± 0.01
100/He ([H ₂ O] = 1.1×10^{16}]) ^b	$(7.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	0.06 ± 0.01

^a Error in the slope is 2σ .

 $^{\rm b}$ In molecules cm $^{-3}$.

Table 3



Fig. 5. Plot of the f_{PA}^0/f_{HONO}^0 vs. [PA]/[HONO] obtained in different experimental conditions at 298 ± 3 K.

value of the quantum yield to include uncertainties on the concentration measurements of pyruvic acid and HONO and their cross-sections which leads to: $\Phi(OH) = 0.05 \pm 0.03$.

2.3.2. Photodissociation of PA using black lamps

A series of independent experiments was conducted in the smog chamber to confirm the production of OH radicals from the photolysis of pyruvic acid using black lamps. The set-up used for this purpose consisted of a 1001 Teflon bag surrounded by six black lamps (Philips, TL 20W/05) with irradiation in the range 300-460 nm and a maximum intensity at 365 nm. Measured amounts of reagents were flushed from calibrated bulbs into the Teflon bag through a stream of ultra pure air (Alphagaz). The photoreactor was then filled to its full capacity at atmospheric pressure with ultra pure air. A gas chromatograph-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) was used for the quantitative analysis of the reactants. The gas mixtures were sampled at several reaction times and the change in concentration of the compounds was achieved from integration of the chromatogram peaks. Chromatographic separation was achieved by using a DB-1 capillary column.

Two experiments were conducted with mixtures of isoprene/2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene/pyruvic acid, and one where 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene was replaced by 1,3-pentadiene. First, blank runs were performed which consisted of: (1) isoprene and the other diene (2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene or 1,3-pentadiene) were irradiated for more than 2 h; no change was observed in the concentrations of the two compounds; (2) pyruvic acid was then added to the mixtures and left for more than 2 h without irradiation. Here too, no change was observed in the concentrations of the compounds in the mixture. When the lamps were switched on for the same duration the concentration of the two dienes were found to decay by 10–15%. The observed decrease of these compounds was attributed to the reaction of OH radicals (produced from the photolysis of PA) with isoprene and the other diene present in the mixture (2,3-dimethyl-1,3 butadiene or 1,3-pentadiene):

 $OH + isoprene \rightarrow products$ ($k_{isoprene}$)

$$OH + diene \rightarrow products$$
 (k_{diene})

Assuming that the two compounds are only consumed by reaction with OH, it can be shown that

$$\ln\left\{\frac{[\text{diene}]_0}{[\text{diene}]_t}\right\} = \frac{k_{\text{diene}}}{k_{\text{isoprene}}}\ln\left\{\frac{[\text{isoprene}]_0}{[\text{isoprene}]_t}\right\}$$
(6)

where the subscripts 0 and t indicate concentrations before irradiation and at time t, respectively. Fig. 6 shows an example of the data obtained from the photolysis of isoprene/2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene/pyruvic acid mixture. The ratios $k_{\text{diene}}/k_{\text{isoprene}}$, derived from different experiments were: $k_{\text{diene}}/k_{\text{isoprene}} = 1.4 \pm 0.2$, and $k_{\text{diene}}/k_{\text{isoprene}} =$ 1.5 ± 0.2 for isoprene/2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene and $k_{\text{diene}}/k_{\text{isoprene}} = 1.2 \pm 0.2$ for isoprene/1,3-pentadiene runs. An independent experiment was performed where OH radicals were produced from the photolysis of H₂O₂ at 254 nm in the presence of isoprene and 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene. The obtained ratio $k_{\text{diene}}/k_{\text{isoprene}}$, was 1.3 ± 0.2 which is in good agreement with the reported above. These ratios are also to be compared with those derived from the literature data: $k(OH + isoprene) = 1.01 \times 10^{-10}$ [14], $k(OH + isoprene) = 1.01 \times 10^{-10}$], $k(OH + isoprene) = 1.01 \times$ 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene) = 1.25×10^{-10} [15] and, $k(OH+1,3-pentadiene) = 1.03 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [15]. From these data, the ratios $k_{\text{diene}}/k_{\text{isoprene}}$ are calculated to be: 1.2 and 1.02 for 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene and 1.3-pentadiene, respectively.



Fig. 6. An example of the decay of isoprene and 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene during photolysis of pyruvic acid using black lamps.

The photolysis of pyruvic acid has been studied by different groups using a variety of conditions and light sources. Yamamoto and Back [10] used a Hg–Xe high-pressure arc lamp to study the photolysis of PA at 366, 345 and 320 nm while Berges and Warneck [12] used a xenon arc lamp centered at 350 nm. Both studies showed that acetaldehyde and CO_2 were the major products confirming what was reported earlier by Vesley and Leermakers at 366 nm [16]. Recently, Winterhalter et al. [7] conducted a series of experiments using the EUPHORE outdoor smog chamber and observed in addition to acetaldehyde, the formation of acetic acid, formic acid, formaldehyde, CO and vinyl alcohol (which rearranges to acetaldehyde). The suggested primary photolysis processes derived from the previous studies are:

 $CH_3C(O)C(O)OH \rightarrow CH_3CHO + CO_2$ (7)

 $CH_3C(O)C(O)OH \rightarrow CH_3CO + COOH$ (8)

$$CH_3C(O)C(O)OH \rightarrow CH_3C(O)OH + CO$$
 (9)

Winterhalter et al. [7] suggested that channel (7) is the major pathway (\approx 50%) while channels (8) and (9) represent \approx 30 and \approx 10%, respectively. The present work shows the occurrence of another channel leading to the direct formation of OH radicals which could be:

$$CH_3C(O)C(O)OH \to CH_3CO + CO + OH$$
(10)

3. Conclusions

This work confirms that pyruvic acid is lost in the atmosphere in the gas phase mainly through photolysis since its reaction with OH radicals is slow ($k_{PA} = (1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K). The average lifetime of PA in the atmosphere with respect to the reaction with OH is estimated to be more than 3 months (assuming an OH concentration of 10^6 cm^{-3}) while that with respect to the photolysis is of few hours [7]. The direct detection of OH radicals by LIF following the photolysis of PA at 355 nm and its indirect detection through smog chamber studies using black lamps (300–460 nm) indicate the existence of another radicals forming channel from the photolysis of pyruvic acid. These observations are of atmospheric importance since pyruvic acid can be a source of radicals in remote area. The photolysis of organic acids with a comparable structure to that of pyruvic acid may also lead to the formation of radicals. More experimental and theoretical work is needed to understand the photolysis processes leading to OH radicals from the atmospheric photolysis of such compounds.

Acknowledgements

French Ministry of Environment through Primequal-Predit Program, Programme de Recherches Avancées de Coopération Franco-Chinoise (PRA), and National Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 29707004) are acknowledged for support. The authors gratefully acknowledge R. Winterhalter and N. Jensen for helpful discussion.

References

- M.O. Andreae, R.W. Talbot, S.M. Li, J. Geophys. Res. 92 (1987) 6635.
- [2] K. Kawamura, H. Kasukabe, L.A. Barrie, Atmos. Environ. 30 (1996) 1709.
- [3] E.D. Baboukas, M. Kanakidou, N. Mihalopoulos, J. Geophys. Res. 105 (2000) 14459.
- [4] D.J. Jacob, S.C. Wofsy, Photochemical production of carboxylic acids in remote continental atmosphere, in: M.H. Unsworth (Ed.), Acid Deposition Processes at High Elevation Sites, Reidel, Hinghan, MA, 1988, pp. 73–92.
- [5] R.W. Talbot, M.O. Andreae, H. Berresheim, D.J. Jacob, K.M. Beecher, J. Geophys. Res. 95 (1990) 16799.
- [6] D. Grosjean, Atmos. Environ. 17 (1983) 2379.
- [7] R. Winterhalter, N.R. Jensen, I. Magneron, K. Wirtz, A. Mellouki, Y. Mu, Y. Tadic, A. Horowitz, G.K. Moortgat, J. Hjorth, in: P.M. Midgley, M. Reuther, M. Williams (Eds.), Proceedings of the EUROTRAC-2 Symposium 2000, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [8] A. Mellouki, S. Téton, G. Le Bras, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 27 (1995) 791.
- [9] Y. Mu, A. Mellouki, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 134 (2000) 31.
- [10] S. Yamamoto, R.A. Back, Can. J. Chem. 63 (1985) 549.
- [11] A. Horowitz, R. Meller, G.K. Moortgat, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 146 (2001) 19.
- [12] M.G.M. Berges, P. Warneck, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 96 (1992) 413.
- [13] W.B. DeMore, S.P. Sander, D.M. Golden, R.F. Hampson, M.J. Kurylo, C.J. Howard, A.R. Ravishankara, C.E. Kolb, M.J. Molina, Chemical Kinetics and Photochemistry Data for Use in Stratospheric Modelling, JPL Publication 97-94.
- [14] R. Atkinson, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Monogr. 2 (1994).
- [15] T. Ohta, J. Phys. Chem. 87 (1983) 1209.
- [16] G.F. Vesley, P.A. Leermarkers, J. Phys. Chem. 68 (1964) 2364.